Record of proceedings dated 04.03.2021

O. P. No. 15 of 2016

Garrison Engineer (AFA) Hakeempet Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for the power procured by it as deemed distribution licensee

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for respondent has appeared through video conference. Though the link was available to the petitioner, the representative of the petitioner is not able to make his appearance in the matter through video conference. The representative of the respondent made submissions in the matter reiterating the contentions filed by the respondent. Since the matter is not represented by the petitioner, the matter will be decided by the Commission. In view of the inability of the representative of the petitioner, the matter is finally adjourned.

Call on 18.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-Member Sd/-Member Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 16 of 2016

Garrison Engineer (AF) Dundigal Vs. --Nil--

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for the power procured by it as deemed distribution licensee

Though the link was available to the petitioner, the representative of the petitioner is not able to make his appearance in the matter through video conference. Since the matter is not represented by the petitioner, the matter will be decided by the Commission. In view of the inability of the representative of the petitioner, the matter is finally adjourned.

Call on 18.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
Member	Member	Chairman

O. P. No. 3 of 2021 & I. A. No. 29 of 2017

M/s. REI Power Bazaar Private Ltd. Vs TSTRANSCO, TSDISCOMs & TSGENCO

Petition filed seeking to establish power market (power exchange) in the State of Telangana u/s 86 (1) (k) r/w section 66 of the Act, 2003.

I. A. filed seeking to receive additional documents for consideration of the original petition.

Sri. Koushik Soni, Advocate representing Sri P. Vikram, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. D. N. Sarma, OSD (Legal & Commercial) for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that he needs adjournment in the matter. The Commission pointed out that the petitioner is required to file status report and additional documents, if any in the matter. The counsel for petitioner reiterated his request in the matter to obtain instructions from the petitioner. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 19.04.2021 a	t 11.30 AM.	
Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
Member	Member	Chairman

O. P. No. 10 of 2021

M/s. Medak Solar Projects Private Limited Vs TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 46 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petition is filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-implementation of the order dated 02.01.20219 in O. P. No. 46 of 2018 passed by the Commission. He also stated that the review petition filed by the respondent was rejected by dismissing the interlocutory application filed for condoning the delay in filing the review petition. There is no other option for the respondents except to implement the order passed by the Commission. Even the delay application stood rejected on 25.01.2021, it is more than a month now that the respondent has not implemented the said order. At this stage, the representative of the respondents stated that the respondents have decided to file an appeal before the Hon'ble ATE in the original order dated 02.01.2019 and therefore, he needs time of two weeks either to report in the matter or otherwise to implement the said order. The Commission pointed out that the respondents have no option except to implement the order of the Commission. The representative of the respondents stated that in two weeks time, he would place the factual position about the implementation of the order or obtain orders of the appellate authority.

Having regard to the submissions of the parties, the matter is adjourned and the respondent shall report either the compliance of the order or obtain orders from the appellate authority and place the same before the Commission.

Call on 18.03.2021 a	t 11.30 A.M.	
Sd/- Member	Sd/- Member	Sd/- Chairman
Weinber	Member	Onainnan

O. P. No. 11 of 2021

M/s. Dubbak Solar Projects Private Limited Vs TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 47 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petition is filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-implementation of the order dated 02.01.20219 in O. P. No. 47 of 2018 passed by the Commission. He also stated that the review petition filed by the respondent was rejected by dismissing the interlocutory application for condoning the delay in filing the review petition. There is no other option for the respondents except to implement the order passed by the Commission. Even the delay application stood rejected on 25.01.2021, it is more than a month now that the respondent has not implemented the said order. At this stage, the representative of the respondents stated that the respondents have decided to file an appeal before the Hon'ble ATE in the original order dated 02.01.2019 and therefore, he needs time of two weeks to report in the matter or otherwise to implement the said order. The Commission pointed out that the respondents have no option except to implement the order of the Commission. The representative of the respondents stated that the respondents have no option except to implement two weeks time, he would place the factual position about the implementation of the order or obtain orders of the appellate authority.

Having regard to the submissions of the parties, the matter is adjourned and the respondent shall report either the compliance of the order or obtain orders from the appellate authority and place the same before the Commission.

Call on 18.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-Sd/-Sd/-MemberMemberChairman

O. P. No. 12 of 2021

M/s. Sarvotham Care Vs TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 61 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petition is filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-implementation of the order dated 02.01.20219 in O. P. No. 61 of 2018 passed by the Commission. He also stated that the review petition filed by the respondent was rejected by dismissing the interlocutory application for condoning the delay in filing the review petition. There is no other option for the respondents except to implement the order passed by the Commission. Even the delay application stood rejected on 25.01.2021, it is more than a month now that the respondent has not implemented the said order. At this stage, the representative of the respondents stated that the respondents have decided to file an appeal before the Hon'ble ATE in the original order dated 02.01.2019 and therefore, he needs time of two weeks to report in the matter or otherwise to implement the said order. The Commission pointed out that the respondents have no option except to implement the order of the Commission. The representative of the respondents stated that in two weeks time, he would place the factual position about the implementation of the order or obtain orders of the appellate authority.

Having regard to the submissions of the parties, the matter is adjourned and the respondent shall report either the compliance of the order or obtain orders from the appellate authority and place the same before the Commission.

Call on 18.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M. Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

Sd/-Chairman